



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | F             | LING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/779,497              | 97 02/09/2001 |             | Kazuya Nishino       | 1095.1158/JDH       | 5669             |
| 21171                   | 7590          | 03/24/2006  |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| STAAS & I               | HALSEY        | LLP         | HAVAN, THU THAO      |                     |                  |
| SUITE 700<br>1201 NEW 1 | ORK AV        | 'ENUE, N.W. | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| WASHINGT                | ON, DC        | 20005       | 3624                 |                     |                  |

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | )(f)   | 09/779,497   | NISHINO, KAZUYA  |  |
| C  | Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |
|  |  | Thu Thao Havan   | 3624   |  |
| <i>The</i><br>Period for Re  | e MAILING DATE of this communication apply   | opears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence address   |  |
| WHICHEV - Extensions after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re | ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY (FR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING CONTINUE OF TH | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE           | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)☐ This<br>3)☐ Sinc  | ponsive to communication(s) filed on 11, action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ The this application is in condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under  | is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro  |  |  |
| Disposition o  | f Claims   |  |  |  |
| 4a) 0<br>5)☐ Claiı<br>6)⊠ Claiı<br>7)☐ Claiı                                   | m(s) <u>1,2 and 4-10</u> is/are pending in the and the above claim(s) is/are withdram(s) is/are withdram(s) is/are allowed.  m(s) <u>1,2 and 4-10</u> is/are rejected.  m(s) is/are objected to.  m(s) are subject to restriction and/   | awn from consideration.  |  |  |
| Application P  | apers  |  |  |  |
| 10)∐ The o   | specification is objected to by the Examin drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acticant may not request that any objection to the acement drawing sheet(s) including the correport of the corresponds of t       | ccepted or b) objected to by the education of the learning of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing (s) is objection is required if the drawing (s). | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                       |  |
| Priority unde  | r 35 U.S.C. § 119  | ,  |  |  |
| a)   | owledgment is made of a claim for foreig  b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documer  Certified copies of the priority documer  Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureane attached detailed Office action for a list  | nts have been received.<br>nts have been received in Applicati<br>ority documents have been receive<br>au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |
| Attachment(s)  | ofgrances Cited (DTO 202)  | o □ 1-4i 0   | (DTO 442)  |  |
| 2)  Notice of D 3)  Information  | eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 )/Mail Date   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal P  6) Other:   |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/779,497

Art Unit: 3624

#### **Detailed Action**

## Response to Amendment

Claims 1-2 and 4-10 are pending. This action is in response to the RCE received January 11, 2006.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 4-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims **1-2** and **4-10** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barritz et al. (US 6,029,145).

Re claims **1**, **6**, and **8-10**, Barritz teaches an accounting method for imposing, by a server, a charge for using an application program installed on a client (col. 3, lines 14-29), the method comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/779,497

Art Unit: 3624

a function performance detecting step for detecting that a particular function of an application program installed on the client was performed (col. 4, lines 35-63; col. 3, lines 30-60);

a user specifying step for specifying a user who performed the particular function (<u>col.</u> 7, lines 1-10);

an accounting step for imposing charges on a user specified by the user specifying step according to frequency of performance of a particular function of the application program detected by the function performance detecting step (col. 13, line 63 to col. 14, line 35); and

an application program specifying step for specifying the application program performing the particular function, wherein the accounting step imposes a charge according to the application program specified by the application program specifying step (col. 14, lines 52-61). Barritz discloses the necessity for vendors to have access to ongoing information as to how, where, to what extent, and by whom their software is being used. He provides "authorization codes" to users that tell the licensed software product, during its operation, the applicable limits. His system prepares and transmits to the discrete computer site software usage billings by having the central computer calculates the amount of royalties owed for the use of software products at the discrete computer sites. Thus, software facility controls disbursements to the vendors of monies received on account of the discrete computer sites.

Re claim **2**, Barritz teaches predetermined function is to output data from the client to an external device (fig. 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/779,497

Art Unit: 3624

Re claim **4**, Barritz teaches a user registration step for performing user registration in the case of the predetermined function having been performed for the first time (<u>col. 2</u>, <u>lines</u> <u>28-36</u>). Barritz discloses registered user.

Re claim **5**, Barritz teaches an imposed charge amount informing step for informing a client which made a request about the amount of charges imposed at that time (<u>fig. 7</u>). In figure 7, Barritz discloses billing collection by collecting usage amounts.

Re claim **7**, Barritz teaches a charge deducting step for deducting automatically charges imposed by the accounting step from an account being under the user's name (col. 3, lines 10-12).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cornelius et al, US 6,629,081

Speakman et al, US 5,991,741

Peters et al, US 5,884,284

Hornbuckle, US 5,388,211

Muratani, US 6,119,109

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Thao Havan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8111. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct-uspto.gov/">http://pair-direct-uspto.gov/</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (tollfree).

TTH 3/15/2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER